EUROPEAN COMMISSION



Brussels, 24.6.2022 SEC(2022) 433 final

### **REGULATORY SCRUTINY BOARD OPINION**

Proposal for a COUNCIL DIRECTIVE amending Directive 2006/112/EC as regards VAT rules for the digital age

**Proposal for a COUNCIL REGULATION amending Regulation (EU) No 904/2010 as regards the VAT administrative cooperation arrangements needed for the digital age** 

Proposal for a COUNCIL IMPLEMENTING REGULATION amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 282/2011 as regards information requirements for certain VAT schemes

{COM(2022) 701 final} - {COM(2022) 703 final} - {COM(2022) 704 final} {SWD(2022) 393 final} {SWD(2022) 394 final}



EUROPEAN COMMISSION Regulatory Scrutiny Board

> Brussels, RSB

# **Opinion**

### Title: VAT in the Digital Age

### **Overall opinion: POSITIVE WITH RESERVATIONS**

#### (A) Policy context

VAT is a major source of revenue for Member States' budgets. However, the current VAT rules are 30 years old and have not kept pace with the digitalisation of the economy. VAT collection and control is suboptimal, and the EU VAT legislative framework is both not fully adapted to deal with the new digital reality and is also prone to fraud. Burdens and compliance costs are increasingly excessive, as the digital economy and new business models create new challenges and costs for tax administrations and businesses.

The VAT in the Digital Age initiative aims to modernise the VAT system in three areas: (i) VAT reporting, (ii) VAT treatment of the platform economy, and (iii) VAT registration. The Commission announced this initiative as part of its Action Plan for fair and simple taxation supporting the recovery and it is included in the 2022 Commission Work Programme.

This opinion concerns a draft impact assessment which may differ from the final version.

### (B) Summary of findings

The Board notes the useful additional information provided in advance of the meeting and commitments to make changes to the report.

However, the report still contains significant shortcomings. The Board gives a positive opinion with reservations because it expects the DG to rectify the following aspects:

- (1) The report does not provide sufficient evidence and detail of the identified problems, in particular in terms of Member State and sectoral perspectives.
- (2) The report does not sufficiently set out the evidence base behind the expected impacts. It does not provide a clear description of the modelling behind the VAT revenue estimates and of the methodology used for estimation of costs and benefits in the scope of the One In, One Out approach.
- (3) The report does not sufficiently explain the future configuration of the options, in particular the expected structure of the EU digital reporting requirements and the degree of flexibility envisaged for Member States.

### (C) What to improve

- (1) The problem section should more clearly outline the reasoning behind the problem scope as well as the urgency to act. It should set out clearly why Member States with digital reporting requirements (DRR) apply different methods and better explain why some Member States have not yet introduced DRR. When discussing the VAT treatment of the platform economy, the report should explain to what extent the identified problems are significant for sectors beyond accommodation and transport (such as finance, and professional services). It should also clarify what drives the VAT Gap and how the quantitative level provided was calculated.
- (2) The report should explain better how the baseline reflects the other ongoing and existing related initiatives. It should be clear to what extent Member States can be expected to introduce DRR (and similar solutions) domestically in the absence of further EU measures.
- (3) The report should provide more information on the methodology, underlying assumptions and sources used in the impact analysis. It should summarise in the main report the key methodological aspects, assumptions, and limitations. It should provide a stronger connection between the impacts presented and the underlying methodology. It should be clear how the two econometric models (C-efficiency and VAT Gap) are applied across the analysis. The same metrics should be used to enable better comparison of impacts. The report should better explain how different options will reduce the estimated VAT Gap.
- (4) Given the scale of the the presented estimates in scope of the One In, One Out approach, the report should provide a more detailed description of the method behind the estimates and clearly outline the metrics (in particular one-off versus recurrent costs).
- (5) The report should present a more final outline of the options and the sub-option elements. It should clarify to what extent a future harmonised EU DRR system is tied to a specific type of digital reporting requirement, such as SAF-T or e-invoicing. It should also be clear about the future degree of flexibility for the Member States. It

should clarify what political choices exist, and present their differences in terms of costs and benefits.

- (6) The report should strengthen the comparison of options. It should present the net benefits and benefit cost ratios and compare them across the option packages, including in terms of proportionality.
- (7) The report should better present the views of different stakeholder groups in the main report, for example, stakeholder views on VAT treatment of the platform economy. It should more systematically present the divergent views of different stakeholder groups on the problems, options, and their impacts.

The Board notes the estimated costs and benefits of the preferred option(s) in this initiative, as summarised in the attached quantification tables.

Some more technical comments have been sent directly to the author DG.

#### (D) Conclusion

The DG must revise the report in accordance with the Board's findings before launching the interservice consultation.

If there are any changes in the choice or design of the preferred option in the final version of the report, the DG may need to further adjust the attached quantification tables to reflect this.

| tables to reflect this. |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Full title              | VAT in the Digital Age   |
|                         | Proposal for a Council Regulation supplementing Council<br>Implementing Regulation (EU) No 282/2011 laying down<br>implementing measures for Directive 2006/112/EC - Proposal<br>for a Regulation amending Council Regulation (EU) No<br>904/2010 on administrative cooperation and combating fraud in<br>the field of VAT |
| Reference number        | PLAN/2021/11943  |
| Submitted to RSB on     | 25/05/2022   |
| Date of RSB meeting     | 22/06/2022   |

#### ANNEX: Quantification tables extracted from the draft impact assessment report

The following tables contain information on the costs and benefits of the initiative on which the Board has given its opinion, as presented above.

If the draft report has been revised in line with the Board's recommendations, the content of these tables may be different from those in the final version of the impact assessment report, as published by the Commission.

## 1. Summary of costs and benefits

| Description  | Benefits (total for all provisions) – Enhanced approach<br>Amount  | Comments   |
|--|--|--|
| Description  | Direct benefits  | Commentis  |
| Compliance cost reductions   | Pre-filling of VAT returns: EUR 4.3 billion savings  | Businesses will benefit from<br>the savings  |
|  | The more widespread use of e-invoicing (due to quicker issuance and the reduction in postage and printing costs) will save EUR 1.9 billion   | Businesses will benefit from<br>the savings  |
|  | EUR 10.1 billion savings from removal of recapitulative statements   | Businesses will benefit from<br>the savings  |
|  | EUR 0.5 billion savings in administrative costs resulting from streamlining and clarifications for the from the platform economy   | Platforms to benefit from the savings  |
|  | VAT registration: almost completely eliminating the need to<br>VAT register for distance sellers will save up to EUR 8.7<br>billion registration costs   | Businesses doing cross-borde<br>trade who otherwise have to<br>register will benefit   |
| Reduction of<br>fragmentation<br>costs (costs of<br>non-<br>harmonisation) | EUR 24.2 billion after the 5 <sup>th</sup> year when the full interoperability and convergence is reached) <sup>[11]</sup> .   | Businesses will benefit  |
| Additional VAT<br>revenue  | Between EUR 134 billion and EUR 171 billion: EUR 111 billion (digital reporting) and EUR 24 billion to EUR 66 billion (platform economy) <sup>[2]</sup><br>Being a simplification measure, the VAT registration will only bring minor additional VAT revenue.                    | Member States will benefit   |
| Tax control  | The introduction of DRRs is expected to bring positive<br>impacts on the efficiency and effectiveness of tax control<br>activities   | This would mainly result from<br>the improvement of the risk<br>analysis systems, which is th<br>main positive impace<br>acknowledged by tax<br>authorities that will benefit<br>Taxpayers will also benefit<br>because of more targeted<br>audits and sometimes less<br>audits  |
| Levelling the<br>playfield   | VAT reporting: reduction of MTIC and intra-Community<br>VAT fraud<br>Platform economy: competition made fairer between actors<br>performing in the same economic reality<br>VAT registration: Benefits on levelling the playing field<br>derived from the extension of the scope | VAT reporting: In particular, i<br>will be more difficult fo<br>fraudsters to operate, since th<br>good faith trading partner in<br>the chain will disclos<br>(possibly in real-time) th<br>transactions to the authorities.<br>Platform economy: Part of th<br>increase in VAT collection will<br>come from participants in<br>economic life that are not VAT<br>taxable while enjoying th<br>network effects will make th<br>competition fairer<br>VAT registration may not b<br>responsible for substantia<br>amounts of fraud, regulator,<br>costs and complexity can<br>increase non-compliance<br>especially among SMEs. Thus<br>reducing the scope of<br>situations requiring VAT<br>registration for non-established<br>businesses would mak<br>compliance simpler and |

|  |   |  | it.                                       |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | Indi  | irect benefits   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Quicker<br>introduction of a<br>DRR for domestic<br>transactions across<br>Member States,<br>due to the model-<br>role played by the<br>EU DRR | Some specific benefits under<br>domestic digital reporting requi<br>because the voluntarily adoption  | Member States will benefit   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Interoperability<br>and reduction of<br>fragmentation  | Under selected option, any ne<br>reporting obligation must ens<br>interoperability with existing intr   | sure the compatibility and   | Member States and businesses will benefit |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect<br>compliance<br>benefits are very<br>likely under the<br>deemed supplier<br>regime.  | First, the reduction of the numb<br>paying VAT from millions o<br>(sometimes very large) platform<br>ability of tax administrations to<br>platform economy.<br>Secondly, the understatement of<br>VAT Scheme threshold, which is<br>non-compliance in the platform<br>authorities, will no longer lead to<br>on their supplies. | Businesses and member States<br>to benefit   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits from<br>business<br>automation  | An important benefit is the auto<br>driven by the introduction of di<br>due to the electronic handling of   | Larger, more structured,<br>business entities are likely to<br>obtain more savings due to<br>larger scale of their invoicing<br>and accounting processes and<br>because they have more means<br>and know-how to invest in<br>business automation |   |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental<br>benefits, i.e. the<br>monetary value of<br>the CO2 saved  | Between EUR 0.01 billion and E  | CUR 0.5 billion  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative   | Administrative cost savings related to the 'one in, one out' approach*  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost  | Pre-filling of VAT returns  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost  | E-invoicing related savings   | EUR 1.9 billion  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost  | Removal of recapitulative statements  | EUR 10.1 billion   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost  | VAT registration costs  | EUR 8.7 billion  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost  | Fragmentation costs for MNCs  | EUR 24.2 billion   |   |  |  |  |  |

| I.b. Overview of           | I.b. Overview of Benefits (total for all provisions) – Maximal approach  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description                | Amount   | Comments                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Direct benefits  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compliance cost reductions | Pre-filling of VAT returns: EUR 7 billion savings  | Businesses will benefit from the savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | The more widespread use of e-invoicing (due to quicker issuance and the reduction in postage and printing costs) will save EUR 14.5 billion                                  | Businesses will benefit from the savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | EUR 10.1 billion savings from removal of recapitulative statements   | Businesses will benefit from the savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | EUR 0.5 billion savings in administrative costs resulting from streamlining and clarifications for the from the platform economy   | Platforms to benefit from the savings    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | VAT registration: almost completely eliminating the need to<br>VAT register for distance sellers will save up to EUR 8.7<br>billion registration costs register will benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction of fragmentation | EUR 24.2 billion after the $5^{th}$ year when the full interoperability and convergence is reached) <sup>[3]</sup> .   | Businesses will benefit                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| costs (costs of non-  |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| harmonisation)  |  |   |
| Additional VAT<br>revenue   | Between EUR 284.4 billion and EUR 367.4 billion: EUR 221.4 billion (digital reporting) and EUR 63 billion to EUR 146 billion (platform economy) <sup>[4]</sup><br>Being a simplification measure, the VAT registration will only bring minor additional VAT revenue.   | Member States will benefit  |
| Tax control   | The introduction of intra EU and domestic DRRs is expected<br>to bring maximum positive impacts on the efficiency and<br>effectiveness of tax control activities   | This would mainly result from<br>the improvement of the risk<br>analysis systems, which is the<br>main positive impact<br>acknowledged by tax<br>authorities that will benefit<br>Taxpayers will also benefit<br>because of more targeted<br>audits and sometimes less<br>audits  |
| Levelling the<br>playfield  | VAT reporting: reduction of MTIC and intra-Community<br>VAT fraud<br>Platform economy: competition made fairer between actors<br>performing in the same economic reality<br>VAT registration: Benefits on levelling the playing field<br>derived from the extension of the scope   | VAT reporting: In particular, it<br>will be more difficult for<br>fraudsters to operate, since the<br>good faith trading partner in<br>the chain will disclose<br>(possibly in real-time) the<br>transactions to the authorities.<br>By inclusion of domestic<br>DRRs the chain of transaction<br>will be complete.<br>Platform economy: Part of the<br>increase in VAT collection will<br>come from participants in<br>economic life that are not VAT<br>taxable while enjoying the<br>network effects will make the<br>competition fairer<br>VAT registration may not be<br>responsible for substantial<br>amounts of fraud, regulatory<br>costs and complexity can<br>increase non-compliance,<br>especially among SMEs. Thus,<br>reducing the scope of<br>situations requiring VAT<br>registration for non-established<br>businesses would make<br>compliance simpler and<br>cheaper, and likely to improve<br>it. The removal of EUR 150<br>threshold will help the<br>competition by putting on<br>equal footing the businesses<br>inside and outside EU for<br>certain transactions under the<br>scope |
|   | Indirect benefits  | scope   |
| Interoperability  | Under selected option, the domestic reporting obligation must  | Member States and businesses  |
| and reduction of fragmentation  | ensure the compatibility and interoperability with existing intra-EU solution  | will benefit  |
| Indirect<br>compliance<br>benefits are very<br>likely under the<br>deemed supplier<br>regime. | First, the reduction of the number of taxpayers in charge of<br>paying VAT from millions of providers to thousands of<br>platforms will markedly increase the ability of tax<br>administrations to monitor VAT liability in the platform<br>economy.<br>Secondly, the understatement of turnover to remain below the<br>VAT Scheme threshold, which is one of the main sources of<br>non-compliance in the platform economy pointed out by tax<br>authorities, will no longer lead to the evasion of the VAT due<br>on their supplies. | Businesses and member States<br>to benefit  |

| Benefits from<br>business<br>automation                                   | An important benefit is the auto<br>driven by the introduction of d<br>due to the electronic handling<br>maximised by the inclusion of de | Larger, more structured,<br>business entities are likely to<br>obtain more savings due to<br>larger scale of their invoicing<br>and accounting processes and<br>because they have more means<br>and know-how to invest in<br>business automation |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Environmental<br>benefits, i.e. the<br>monetary value of<br>the CO2 saved | Between EUR 0.01 billion and E  | UR 0.6 billion   |  |
| Administrative  | cost savings related to the 'one  | e in, one out' approach*   |  |
| Direct cost   | Pre-filling of VAT returns  | EUR 7 billion  |  |
| Direct cost   | E-invoicing related savings   | EUR 14.5 billion   |  |
| Direct cost   | Removal of recapitulative statements  |  |  |
| Direct cost   | VAT registration costs  |  |  |
| Direct cost   | Fragmentation costs for MNCs  |  |  |

(1) Estimates are gross values relative to the baseline for the preferred option as a whole (i.e. the impact of individual actions/obligations of the <u>preferred</u> option are aggregated together); (2) Please indicate which stakeholder group is the main recipient of the benefit in the comment section; (3) For reductions in regulatory costs, please describe details as to how the saving arises (e.g. reductions in adjustment costs, administrative costs, regulatory charges, enforcement costs, etc.;); (4) Cost savings related to the 'one in, one out' approach are detailed in Tool #58 and #59 of the 'better regulation' toolbox. \* if relevant

| II.a. Overview of costs – Enhanced approach (total costs 2023-2032) |                |                   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |                | Citizens          | /Consumers  | Admini   | strations  |  |  |
| [   |                | One-off           | Recurrent   | One-off  | Recurrent  | One-off  | Recurrent  |
| Introduc<br>tion of<br>intra-<br>EU<br>digital                      | Direct costs   | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact   | EUR 7.53<br>billion<br>compliance<br>costs for<br>businesses <sup>[5]</sup>                                    | EUR 3.77<br>billion<br>compliance<br>costs for<br>businesses   | EUR 0.43<br>billion<br>implemen<br>tation<br>costs for<br>tax<br>authoritie<br>$s^{[6]}$ | EUR 1.7<br>billion<br>implementa<br>tion costs<br>for tax<br>authorities |
| on digital<br>reportin<br>g<br>obligati                             | Indirect costs | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  | Data<br>confidentialit<br>y: more data<br>will be<br>collected,<br>stored, and<br>exchanged                | Familiaris<br>ation and<br>training<br>costs;<br>Awarenes<br>s<br>campaign<br>s          | Data<br>confidentia<br>lity  |
| Deemed<br>supplier<br>for<br>accomm                                 | Direct costs   | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact   | Initial higher<br>costs related<br>to the<br>clarification<br>of taxable<br>status of the<br>existing<br>users | New burdens<br>for platforms<br>linked to the<br>administratio<br>n of<br>the deemed<br>supplier<br>regime | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  |
| odation<br>and<br>transpor<br>t<br>services                         | Indirect costs | No cost<br>impact | Price<br>variation<br>(VAT/part of<br>VAT<br>currently not<br>paid may be<br>passed on the<br>consumer) | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  |
| Extensi<br>on of<br>the   | Direct costs   | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | Minimal<br>costs<br>related to   | No cost<br>impact  |

| OSS,<br>reverse<br>charge                                      | Indirect costs                              | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost                     | No cost                     | updates<br>of the<br>existing<br>OSS<br>schemes<br>No cost  | No cost           |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Remova<br>l of the<br>optional<br>characte<br>r of the<br>IOSS | Direct costs                                | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | impact<br>No cost<br>impact | impact<br>No cost<br>impact | impact<br>Marginal<br>costs<br>related to<br>small<br>increase<br>in<br>capacity<br>of current<br>systems<br>in place | No cost<br>impact |
|  | Indirect costs                              | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact           | No cost<br>impact           | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact |
|  |   | Costs             | related to the 'on | ie in, one out' ap          | proach                      |   |                   |
|  | Direct<br>adjustment<br>costs               | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact           | No cost<br>impact           |   |                   |
| Total  | Indirect<br>adjustment<br>costs             | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact           | No cost<br>impact           |   |                   |
|  | Administrative<br>costs (for<br>offsetting) | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | EUR 7.53<br>billion         | EUR 3.77<br>billion         |   |                   |

| II.b. Overview of costs – Maximal approach (total costs 2023-2032) |                |                                    |  |  |  |   |  |
|--|----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |                | Citizens                           | /Consumers   | Businesses   |  | Administrations   |  |
| [  |                | One-off                            | Recurrent  | One-off  | Recurrent  | One-off   | Recurrent  |
| Introduc<br>tion of<br>intra-<br>EU                                | Direct costs   | No cost<br>impact                  | No cost<br>impact  | EUR 29<br>billion<br>compliance<br>costs for<br>businesses   | EUR 14.5<br>billion<br>compliance<br>costs for<br>businesses   | EUR 0.7<br>billion<br>implemen<br>tation<br>costs for<br>tax<br>authoritie<br>s | EUR 2.7<br>billion<br>implementa<br>tion costs<br>for tax<br>authorities |
| digital<br>reportin<br>g<br>obligati<br>on                         | Indirect costs | direct costs No cost impact impact | No cost<br>impact  | Data<br>confidentialit<br>y: much<br>more data<br>will be<br>collected,<br>stored, and<br>exchanged            | Familiaris<br>ation and<br>training<br>costs;<br>Awarenes<br>s<br>campaign<br>s                            | Data<br>confidentia<br>lity   |  |
| Deemed<br>supplier<br>for<br>accomm<br>odation                     | Direct costs   | No cost<br>impact                  | No cost<br>impact  | Initial higher<br>costs related<br>to the<br>clarification<br>of taxable<br>status of the<br>existing<br>users | New burdens<br>for platforms<br>linked to the<br>administratio<br>n of<br>the deemed<br>supplier<br>regime | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  |
| and<br>transpor<br>t<br>services                                   | Indirect costs | No cost<br>impact                  | Price<br>variation<br>(VAT/part of<br>VAT<br>currently not<br>paid may be<br>passed on the | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  |

|  |   |                   | consumer)          |                    |                     |  |                   |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| Extensi<br>on of<br>the<br>OSS,<br>reverse                     | Direct costs                                | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   | Minimal<br>costs<br>related to<br>updates<br>of the<br>existing<br>OSS<br>schemes  | No cost<br>impact |
| charge   | Indirect costs                              | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact |
| Remova<br>l of the<br>optional<br>characte<br>r of the<br>IOSS | Direct costs                                | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   | Costs<br>related to<br>small<br>increase<br>in<br>capacity<br>of current<br>systems<br>in place<br>and the<br>IT<br>systems<br>for | No cost<br>impact |
|  | Indirect costs                              | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact |
|  | <u>'</u>                                    | Costs             | related to the 'or | ie in, one out' ap | proach              |  |                   |
|  | Direct<br>adjustment<br>costs               | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   |  |                   |
| Total  | Indirect<br>adjustment<br>costs             | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact  | No cost<br>impact   |  |                   |
|  | Administrative<br>costs (for<br>offsetting) | No cost<br>impact | No cost<br>impact  | EUR 29<br>billion  | EUR 14.5<br>billion |  |                   |

(1) Estimates (gross values) to be provided with respect to the baseline; (2) costs are provided for each identifiable action/obligation of the <u>preferred</u> option otherwise for all retained options when no preferred option is specified; (3) If relevant and available, please present information on costs according to the standard typology of costs (adjustment costs, administrative costs, regulatory charges, enforcement costs, indirect costs;). (4) Administrative costs for offsetting as explained in Tool #58 and #59 of the 'better regulation' toolbox. The total adjustment costs should equal the sum of the adjustment costs presented in the upper part of the table (whenever they are quantifiable and/or can be monetised). Measures taken with a view to compensate adjustment costs to the greatest extent possible are presented in the section of the impact assessment report presenting the preferred option.