

Madsen, Søren R. N.

Fra: Schou, Lone
Sendt: 18. december 2008 22:54
Til: Hall, Damien
Cc: Madsen, Søren R. N.; Jakobsen, Dorte Skjøtt
Emne: SV: Orica Applications [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Damien,

Sorry for a long period of silence but we have been busy examining the DRR and the SIA report – and taken care of the press. I have a few questions to the DRR which I hope you can help me with.

- 1) Repackage – In the DRR it is said that “once repackaged, new drums can be stored or transported within a five-year timeframe before re-drumming is required” and in the SIA report page 10 it is said “the requirement to repackage the existing HCB waste stockpile every eighteen month....” These two numbers have given us some problems – can you explain the difference?
- 2) In the DRR it is said that the production of hazardous waste from chemical industry is quite small – do you have numbers on that?
- 3) At page 4 at the DRR it is said that if you would expand the current capacity of the existing ToxFree facility that the EIS process “... would take considerable time and face significant technical and permitting hurdles”. Do you any estimate on “considerable time”?
- 4) Co-feeding – the problem of getting the large quantities of low heating waste for co-feeding – Is this a problem both if the ToxFree facility is expanded as well as if a new HTI is build?

And then a question which does not have a direct link to the DRR but is more general – Orica has asked for export of all the waste at the facility, but why is not a part of the waste treated at the GeoMelt facilities or the Ausmelt facility?

As I read the SIA report GeoMelt fail in the feasibility study due to “scale and time to treat the waste” and “emissions and residues” and “Permit” but will it also fail if only a smaller amount and some of the waste with a lower HCB content (the contaminated packages) are treated?

And could a smaller amount of the waste be treated at the Ausmelt facility even though there are problems with the pre-treatment?

I hope that you can help me.

I hope that we will be able to finalise our evaluation of the DRR and the SIA report mid January but I will keep you informed of progress.

Best regards
Lone

Fra: Hall, Damien [mailto:Damien.Hall@environment.gov.au]
Sendt: ma 24-11-2008 03:06
Til: Schou, Lone
Cc: Rothenfluh, Daniel
Emne: Orica Applications [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Lone,

Following our recent discussions please find attached the relevant documents for Orica's three applications to export up to 6,100 tonnes of HCB waste for final disposal over a 12 month period at the Kommunekemi high temperature incineration (HTI) facility in Nyborg, Denmark.

The attached documents include:

- (i) Basel Notification documents for the transboundary movements/shipments of waste (Annex 1A);
- (ii) Basel Movement documents for the transboundary movements/shipments of waste (Annex IB);
- (iii) The Duly Reasoned Request; and
- (iv) Sustainable Infrastructure Australia Pty Ltd's (SIA) independent report into Australia's capacity to treat the HCB stockpile.

The application numbers are AUH 082037T, AUH 0866370, and AUH 086937R.

These applications will be *Gazetted* and thereby made public in Australia on Thursday, 27 November 2008.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if there are any questions or problems with the attached documents.

Best regards

Damien

Due to the limits of our e-mail system I will have to send the attachments through to you in separate e-mails.

Please find attached to this e-mail:

- (i) the Duly Reasoned Request

Damien

<<ORICA - DENMARK - Letter to Danish CA.pdf>>

If you have received this transmission in error please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete all copies. If this e-mail or any attachments have been sent to you in error, that error does not constitute waiver of any confidentiality, privilege or copyright in respect of information in the e-mail or attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.
